

1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 60359)  
2 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com  
3 Craig A. Waldman (State Bar No. 229943)  
4 cwaldman@jonesday.com  
5 David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335)  
6 dkiernan@jonesday.com  
7 Lin W. Kahn (State Bar No. 261387)  
8 linkahn@jonesday.com  
9 JONES DAY  
10 555 California Street, 26th Floor  
11 San Francisco, CA 94104  
12 Telephone: (415) 626-3939  
13 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

14 Attorneys for Defendant  
15 Adobe Systems Inc.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17  
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION  
19

20 IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE  
21 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

**Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK**

22 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  
23  
24 ALL ACTIONS  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT 6 TO DECLARATION OF  
LIN W. KAHN IN SUPPORT OF  
DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL  
MOTION FOR CLASS  
CERTIFICATION**

---

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE )  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION )  
 ) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: )  
ALL ACTIONS. )

---

DEPOSITION OF: DONNA MORRIS

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

August 21, 2012

Reported by: Anne Torreano, CSR No. 10520

---

12:07:58 1 necessarily the range would be adjusted on a regular  
12:08:01 2 basis.

12:08:01 3 Q. So let's talk first about the code or level.  
12:08:06 4 How are the codes or levels adjusted over  
12:08:08 5 time?

12:08:10 6 A. So there's a number of components that go into  
12:08:13 7 determining the level of a job and the salary range.  
12:08:21 8 But on an annual basis we would get market data,  
12:08:26 9 typically in the fall time frame, and that market data,  
12:08:31 10 we're looking at a broad range of companies, both what  
12:08:35 11 we call reference companies, companies that are much  
12:08:37 12 larger than Adobe in terms of market cap, in terms of  
12:08:43 13 revenue, in terms of number of employees, and then  
12:08:46 14 companies that are more similar in size.

12:08:49 15 And we use what's called Radford, which are  
12:08:52 16 surveys, and that allows us to look at specific job  
12:09:00 17 codes and what the overall market movement is for that  
12:09:07 18 job code in a particular time period.

12:09:10 19 And then we would apply an aging factor to  
12:09:14 20 that, depending upon when we were actually able to make  
12:09:18 21 an adjustment or whether we were able to make an  
12:09:22 22 adjustment.

12:09:22 23 But the market data would inform us, in terms  
12:09:24 24 of each respective job code for the markets in which  
12:09:28 25 we're operating, what if any market movement there was

12:09:35 1 to that actual position.

12:09:36 2 Q. So you'd look at the data in the marketplace  
12:09:40 3 as a whole for any particular job category, and you  
12:09:42 4 would incorporate that information about what other  
12:09:43 5 companies are doing into -- and then about the  
12:09:47 6 market -- and then what other information did you use?

12:09:49 7 A. So we'd have the external market, which would  
12:09:52 8 allow us to look at how salaries are moving in the  
12:09:56 9 market. And then our biggest internal considerations  
12:09:59 10 are the company's ability to actually pay, so to  
12:10:03 11 actually make any changes.

12:10:05 12 And there were periods of time where  
12:10:07 13 financially, because of different company factors, we  
12:10:13 14 were not able to provide salary increments, most  
12:10:18 15 notably during the recession period of time. And there  
12:10:22 16 were times where we also made other changes to pay.

12:10:25 17 But nonetheless, our operating expense in our  
12:10:30 18 budgetary elements would be one aspect of salary  
12:10:32 19 changes.

12:10:33 20 And then the biggest aspect would be the  
12:10:35 21 performance of the actual employee and the  
12:10:37 22 determination by the respective manager in terms of  
12:10:43 23 determining the level of contributions, the level of  
12:10:46 24 performance and what, if any, base salary adjustment  
12:10:50 25 and what, if any, bonus payment and what, if any,

12:10:54 1 equity allocation on an annual basis.

12:10:58 2 Q. So in setting the compensation, the range of  
12:11:02 3 compensation for a particular job code, Adobe would  
12:11:04 4 look at what the marketplace was paying that job  
12:11:07 5 category or code and then also look at its own ability  
12:11:10 6 to pay?

12:11:11 7 A. Correct, and the performance of the individual  
12:11:14 8 relative to that, yes.

12:11:15 9 Q. And the performance of the individual would  
12:11:18 10 inform where that employee was paid and the range for  
12:11:21 11 that category?

12:11:22 12 A. It would inform where the person was paid  
12:11:25 13 within the range, but also and including the percentage  
12:11:31 14 that they might be eligible to receive in terms of what  
12:11:34 15 we called a focal review or an annual salary review.

12:11:39 16 Q. And how did the annual salary review or focal  
12:11:46 17 review, how was that informed by the salary range that  
12:11:53 18 Adobe provided for the particular job category of that  
12:11:56 19 individual?

12:11:57 20 A. So the actual -- there was actually a matrix  
12:12:01 21 that would be developed every year, based on the  
12:12:05 22 funding, the ability to fund. And that matrix took  
12:12:09 23 into consideration the performance level of the  
12:12:12 24 individual as determined by their manager. And it also  
12:12:15 25 took into consideration where in the range the -- an

12:12:19 1 individual was placed, to provide a set of parameters  
12:12:22 2 or guidelines, if you will, for the manager to make a  
12:12:26 3 determination of a percentage base salary adjustment.

12:12:30 4 So over the years those performance factors  
12:12:33 5 have changed or, if you will, the performance levels  
12:12:36 6 have changed from, you know, three to four to five  
12:12:40 7 different levels. There's been different levels at  
12:12:42 8 different points of time. But it would also take into  
12:12:45 9 consideration was the person between the minimum and  
12:12:49 10 the midpoint, at or around the midpoint of the salary  
12:12:52 11 range or over the midpoint of the salary range.

12:12:55 12 And that would -- that consideration, the  
12:12:58 13 placement of the salary range, combined with the actual  
12:13:03 14 performance level, would inform the guideline for the  
12:13:04 15 base salary adjustment.

12:13:06 16 Q. Okay. Thank you.

12:13:08 17 Did Adobe ever increase its salary ranges to  
12:13:18 18 stay competitive in the market for talent?

12:13:21 19 MR. KIERNAN: Objection. Lacks foundation,  
12:13:24 20 vague and ambiguous. And what time period?

12:13:29 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, so specifically at what  
12:13:30 22 point in time, and, you know, clearly, as mentioned  
12:13:35 23 earlier, we are an IP-based business, so, you know, our  
12:13:39 24 desire is -- the only way you can attract and retain  
12:13:43 25 talent, one of those elements is compensation. So

01:47:06 1 Do you see that?

01:47:06 2 A. Correct, yes.

01:47:07 3 Q. So you're saying your team includes the  
01:47:08 4 recruiters at this point?

01:47:10 5 A. Yes.

01:47:11 6 Q. Okay. And HR consulting, that's part of HR?

01:47:18 7 A. That is, yes.

01:47:19 8 Q. Right?

01:47:19 9 So you're saying you need to think about the  
01:47:24 10 consultation going on between the recruiters and  
01:47:27 11 consulting, to talk about when and if people are being  
01:47:31 12 outside -- hired outside the salary range for a  
01:47:34 13 category?

01:47:34 14 A. Well, I don't know if specifically I was  
01:47:37 15 saying that. I'm saying just in general the  
01:47:40 16 partnership between the recruiters and HR consulting,  
01:47:42 17 is what I see here.

01:47:43 18 Q. And one of the things you say is that you need  
01:47:49 19 to make sure or she needs to make sure -- Ms. Swarthout  
01:47:53 20 needs to make sure that if people are being hired  
01:47:55 21 outside the salary range for a particular job category,  
01:47:58 22 that your team, the recruiters and HR consulting, are  
01:48:02 23 being brought into the process? Is that part of what  
01:48:04 24 you're saying?

01:48:05 25 MR. KIERNAN: Objection. Misstates the

01:48:07 1 testimony.

01:48:07 2 THE WITNESS: I'm not saying that.

01:48:09 3 What I'm reading is that we were to -- we  
01:48:13 4 want -- you know, I expressed the desire to meet with  
01:48:18 5 Ellen and discuss the situation. So that's what this  
01:48:21 6 says.

01:48:21 7 BY MR. CRAMER:

01:48:21 8 Q. And then you said, "especially considering  
01:48:23 9 this could impact internal equity."

01:48:25 10 Do you see that?

01:48:25 11 A. Yes.

01:48:25 12 Q. What does that mean?

01:48:27 13 A. Internal equity is just parity between  
01:48:31 14 candidates and employees. So the difference in pay  
01:48:33 15 between employees that are already part of our team  
01:48:36 16 versus employees that we're hiring into the company.

01:48:39 17 Q. So there's some concept called "internal  
01:48:42 18 equity" whereby if you hire an employee outside the  
01:48:46 19 range for a particular job category, that could create  
01:48:50 20 some issues with equity within the company?

01:48:53 21 A. That's not really what the concept of internal  
01:48:55 22 equity is.

01:48:55 23 Q. All right. Explain it to me.

01:48:57 24 A. So internal equity is about looking at skills  
01:49:01 25 and capabilities which are similar. There's a lot of



01:49:05 1 factors that come into consideration, but skills and  
01:49:08 2 capabilities that are similar. Is their eventual  
01:49:12 3 earning potential similar within a range? It's not to  
01:49:14 4 say that they are paid the exact same, but are they at  
01:49:17 5 least within the same range?

01:49:19 6 So this would be indicating of how do we  
01:49:21 7 ensure that people are put in the right range in -- you  
01:49:26 8 know, based on their job.

01:49:27 9 Q. Did you subsequently talk -- you said in the  
01:49:34 10 last paragraph, "Look forward to our discussion, and my  
01:49:37 11 thanks for being a champion to this happening."

01:49:39 12 Did you in fact have a discussion with  
01:49:41 13 Ms. Swarthout about these issues?

01:49:44 14 A. If I did, I don't recall.

01:49:45 15 Q. Okay. It's fair to say that in July 2004 you  
01:50:07 16 considered recruiters part of your team in HR?

01:50:09 17 A. That was a key part of my responsibilities,  
01:50:12 18 yes.

01:50:12 19 Q. Why -- you said, in the second sentence of the  
01:50:19 20 first paragraph, "especially considering this could  
01:50:23 21 impact internal equity."

01:50:25 22 Was the "this" you were referring to hiring  
01:50:27 23 people outside the salary range?

01:50:29 24 A. Yes.

01:50:30 25 Q. Okay. So that was a concern of yours in HR,

01:50:35 1 if people were being hired outside of a salary range  
01:50:38 2 for a particular category?

01:50:39 3 MR. KIERNAN: Objection. Compound.

01:50:44 4 BY MR. CRAMER:

01:50:44 5 Q. Is that fair?

01:50:45 6 MR. KIERNAN: Objection. Compound.

01:50:47 7 BY MR. CRAMER:

01:50:47 8 Q. Was it a concern --

01:50:48 9 A. My comment was specific to what she sent to  
01:50:51 10 me, for which obviously I was not aware that people  
01:50:53 11 were being hired outside of the range, and if that was  
01:50:56 12 a practice, that would be a concern.

01:50:58 13 Q. Okay. All right. You can put that document  
01:51:03 14 aside. Thank you.

01:51:21 15 Does Adobe consider the turnover rate for a  
01:51:27 16 particular position in setting its salary?

01:51:29 17 A. The turnover rate, no.

01:51:30 18 Q. No?

01:51:31 19 Or attrition for that position?

01:51:33 20 A. No. For a position? No.

01:51:34 21 Q. No.

01:51:35 22 How -- in setting salaries, in what sense, if  
01:51:40 23 at all, does Adobe take into account attrition or  
01:51:46 24 turnover rate?

01:51:47 25 A. Attrition is not a factor in terms of

05:58:39 1 your general counsel in which you received briefing  
05:58:41 2 regarding the meaning of the antitrust laws?

05:58:44 3 A. A number of times.

05:58:45 4 Q. Were there other people present?

05:58:46 5 A. For some of those meetings, yes.

05:58:52 6 MR. CRAMER: All right. That's all the  
05:58:52 7 questions I have. Thanks.

05:58:56 8 Anybody else?

05:58:59 9 All right. That's it. Go off the record.

05:59:01 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of video 4  
05:59:03 11 and conclusion of today's proceedings. The time is  
05:59:06 12 5:59 p.m. We're off the record.

13 (Deposition adjourned at 5:59 p.m.)

14

--- oOo ---

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Anne Torreano, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
licensed in the State of California, License No. 10520,  
hereby certify that the deponent was by me first duly  
sworn, and the foregoing testimony was reported by me  
and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided  
transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,  
and true record of said proceedings.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or  
attorney for either or any of the parties in the  
foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way  
interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.

The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of  
the original transcript will render the reporter's  
certificates null and void.

In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name  
this 31st day of August, 2012.

☒ Reading and Signing was requested.

☐ Reading and Signing was waived.

☐ Reading and Signing was not requested.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ANNE M. TORREANO, CSR No. 10520